

Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/12/2006-2008

# KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

# PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LII

Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday

തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

26th June 2007

2007 ജാൺ 26

5th Ashadha 1929

1929 massass 5

mmul

സർ

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDER

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1154/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th April 2007

Read:—1. Letter No. 25331/A3/2000/LBR dated 22-10-2001 from the Secretary, Labour and Rehabilitation Department.

- Letter No. R(5) 2079/99 dated 14-10-1999 from the District Lebour Officer, Thrissur.
- Judgement of the Hon'ble High Court dated 12-3-2007 in O. P. No. 442 of 2002 (c) filed by Cochin Labour Union.
- 1. As per the letter read as second paper above an Industrial Dispute between the management of the Phermaceutical Corporation (IM) Kerala (Ltd.). Thrissur and its workmen was recommended to be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad by the Labour Commissioner. After examining the case Government have decided to record the issue under section 12(5) of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 as per the letter read as 1st paper above.
- The Hon'ble High Court as per its judgement dated 12-3-2007 in O. P. No. 442 of 2002 (C) filed by Cochin Labour Union has set aside the Government letter read above and directed Government to refer the case for adjudication under Section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947.
- 3. In the above circumstance in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 Government hereby refer the Industrial Dispute between the management of the Pharmaceutical Corporation (IM) Kerala Ltd., Thrissur-680 001 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Cochin Labour Union, 'Valsan', Krishnaswami Road, Cochin-682 035 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad with request to pass the award within three, months.

### ANNEXURE

Whether the demand of the 27 employees for regularization in service is justifiable or not?

ജനറൽ വർക്കർമാരുടെ പേരും മേൽവിലാസവും

- ശ്രീ. മുരളിധരൻ, ടി.എ., തോപ്പിൽ ഹൗസ്, ഊരകം പി.ഒ., തൃശ്ഗൂർ-680 562
- ശ്രീ. എൻ. എസ്. ദയാനന്ദർ, നെല്ലിക്കർ ഹൗസ്, പറളിക്കാട് പി. ഒ., വടക്കാഞ്ചോി, തൃശ്ശുർ ജില്ല
- ശ്രീ. എം. കെ. അശോകൻ, ണ്ടേകത്തിയൽ ഹൗസ്, തുവാനൂർ, പി. ഒ. കേച്ചേരി, തൃശ്ശൂർ-680 501
- ശ്രീ, കെ, ഉമ്മർ, കുന്നോരത്തിൽ ഹൗസ്, കൗശനാപ്പെടി, വടകേഷോട് പി. ഒ., തൃശ്ശൂർ-679 562
- ശ്രീ, പി, വി, സുന്ദരൻ, പുളളിക്കൻ ഹൗസ്, വെള്ളാനിക്കാ പി, ഒ
- ശി, ശ്രീധരൻ, എം. ടി., മനകുളത്ത് ഹൗസ്, കുത്തുപാറ, മിണാലൂർ പി. ഒ. മൃശ്ശൂർ-680 581

- ശ്രീ. എൻ. വി. സുബ്രൻ, നടുവിൽപുര ഹൗസ്, കുററുതുക്ക് പി. ഒ., രാമവർമ്മപുരം, തൃശ്ശുർ-680 631
- ശ്രീ. എം. എ. സുബ്രൻ, മാഞ്ഞൂരാൻ ഫൗസ്, മോനോടി, പി. ഒ. വെളളിക്കുള്ളരം, തൃശ്ശൂർ-680 693
- ശീ, സി, കൊച്ചു, പുഴുത്താശാൻ വീട്ടിൽ, പുങ്കകാട് പി. ഒ., മരത്താൻകുന്ന്, വടക്കാഞ്ചേരി, തൃശ്ശൂർ
- ശ്രീ. കെ. എം. കബീർ, കുന്നംകുമരത്ത<sup>°</sup> ഫൗസ<sup>°</sup>, പരുത്തിപ്പാര, കുമരനെല്ലൂർ-680 590
- ശ്രീ. വി. എസ്. രവി, വെള്ളാനി ഹൗസ്, പി. ഒ. നത്തിക്കാ-680 301
- ശ്രീ. പി. കെ. സുബ്രൻ, പപ്പാത്ത് ഹൗസ്, കുണ്ടുകുഴിപ്പാടം, കുററിച്ചിറ പി. ഒ., ചാലക്കുടി-680 721
- ശ്രീ. സി. വി. സുബ്രഹ്മണ്യൻ, ചാഴുവീളിൽ ഹൗസ്, കാക്കൂർ പി. ഒ., പിൻ-680 702
- 14. ശ്രീ. ഇ. ടി. സുധാകരൻ, എരിപ്പാശേരി ഹൗസ്, പി. ഒ. കണ്ടാണശേരി, അരിയന്നൂർ (വഴി), തൃശ്ശൂർ-680 102
- ശ്രീ. വി. പി. ജനാർദ്നേൻ, വളപറമ്പിൽ ഹൗസ്, പി. ഒ. ദേശമംഗലം, ആശ്രൂർ
- 16. ശ്രീ, പി. ഏൻ. വേണുഗോപാലൻ, പുത്തൻമാം ഹൗസ്, വെസ്റാറ് വെള്ളാനിക്കര, പി. ഒ. മാടക്ഷത്താ-680 651
- ശ്രീ. വി. എസ്. സുധീഷ്, വടക്കുംചേരി ഹൗസ്, ഇന്ദിരാനഗർ, മണ്ണുത്തി പി. ഒ., തൃശ്ശൂർ
- 18. ശ്രീ, ജി, രക. ഹരിഹാൻ, താനാളു ഹൗസ്, തിരുവഞ്ചിക്കുളം, കിഞ്ഞോളി അമ്പലത്തിനു സമീപം, കൊടുങ്ങളുർ ചി. ഒ., പിൻ-680 664
- ശ്രീ. ഏൻ, കെ. വിധ്യംഭരൻ, നഞ്ചികരയിൽ ഹൗസ", ചി. ഒ. നഞ്ചിക്കര

- 20. ശ്രീ. കെ. സി. സുരേശ്രൻ, കോലോത്തുപാമ്പിൽ ഹൗസ്, പി. ഒ. പൊയ്യു. മാള-680 733
- ശ്രീ. എം. കെ. മുരളീധാൻ, മനപ്പെട്ടി ഹൗസ്, പി. ഒ. അഞ്ചേരി, തൃശ്ശൂർ-6
- ശ്രീ. ഏം. ആർ. രാജൻ, മാമ്പറമ്പിൽ ഫൗഡ്, പി. ഒ. വേലൂർ, ഹിൻ-680 601
- ശ്രീ, എൻ, വി, രവീ(ന്ദർ, നടുവി ൻപുരയ<sup>6</sup>ക്കൻ ഹൗസ്, പാമ്പൂർ, ആശ്ശൂർ-680 013
- 24. ശ്രീ. സി. എസ്. ശോപാലകൃഷ്ണൻ, ചുള്ളിക്കായ ഹൗസ്, പി. ഒ. കുരമനെല്ലൂർ, ഓട്ടുപരാ, വടക്കാഞ്ചേരി (വഴി), ആശ്ശൂർ-680\_590
- 25. ശ്രീ. എം. എം. രവി, മുരിജ്ജനേടത്ത<sup>്</sup> ഹൗസ്, പി.ക. മുരിയാട്, കല്ലോറുംകര-680 694
- 26. ശ്രീ. വി. എ. ശങ്കരൻകുടി, വെട്ടകപറമ്പിൽ വീട°, പി. ഒ. മടത്തുംപടി, മണലിക്കാട"-680 733
- ശീ. ടി. രവികുമാർ, നാളാർ ഹൗസ്, ചിറക്കകോട് പി. ഒ., വേളളാനിക്കാ

By order of the Governor, C. K. Viswana'than, Secretary to Government.

# ORDER

G. O. (Rt.) No. 922/2007/LBR.

Thirusananthapuram, 9th April 2007.

- Read:—1. Letter No. I(6)-19717/06 dated 23-11-2006 from the Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram.
  - G. O. (Rt.) No. 3287/2006/LBR dated 22-12-2006.
  - Letter No. I(6)-2182/07 dated 19-2-2007 from the Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram.

The Industrial Dispute between the management of Service Station Motor Accessories Company, Vellayil Road, Kozhikode and its workmen represented by the Secretary, Kozhikode Jilla Motor & Engineering Workers Union (CITU), City Committee, Pavamani Road, Kozhikode was referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode, as per Government Order read as first paper above.

I. In the letter read as third paper above Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram has pointed out that the second portion of the terms of reference recommended for adjudication as per his letter read as first paper above was missed in the terms of reference in the Government Order read above and has requested to include the above portion also in the terms of reference of the Government Order.

Government have examined the matter and are pleased to include the following portion also as second part of the terms of reference of the Government Order read above.

"Whether the closure of the Service Station Motor Access ries Company, Calicut by the Management during conciliation proceedings before the District Labour Officer, Kozhikode is justifi ble".

 The Government Order read as first paper above will stand modified to the above extent.

> By order of the Governor, C. Mohanan, Additional Secretary to Government.

# ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt ) No. 2582/2006/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st November 2006.

- Read:-1. G.O. (Rt.) No. 2692/04/LBR dated 14-10-2004.
  - Application dated 9-12-2005 from Smt. Sudha Unnikrishnan.
  - Letter No. I(5) 861/06 dated 5-10-2006 from Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram.

An Industrial dispute between the management of Muthoot Bankers, Cherthala and its workman Smt. Sudha Unnikrishnan was referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappusha as per Government Order read as first paper above. The party representing the management was The Partner, Muthoot Bankers, Regional Office, Muthoot Towers, M.G. Road, Kochi-682 035.

In the application read as second paper above the workman Smt. Sudha Unnikrishnan, has submitted that Ernakulam Regional Office of Muthoot B nkers have entered appearance before the In ustrial Tribunal, Alappanha and submitted that Ernakulam branch/Office is independent from Cherthala branch of Muthoot Bankers, and the entire workmen in all the establishments coming under Muthoot Pappachan Group including Muthoot Bankers are employed by Muthoot Pappachan consultancy & Minagement service having office at Thiruvananthapuram. In the above context, the workman have requested Government to implead Cherthala branch of Muthoot Bankers and Muthoot Pappachan consultancy and Management service, Thiruvananthapuram also an essential parties to the industrial dispute.

Government have examined the matter in consultation with the Labour Commissioner. On enquiry it is revealed that the appointments are made by Muthoot Pappachan consultancy and Management service and Muthoot Bankers, Cherthala Hence (i) Muthoot Bankers, Ward No. GMC 4, Cherthala and (2) Muthoot Pappachan consultancy and Management services Muthoot Centre, Pennan Road, Thiruvananthapuram are also impleded as additional parties representing management in the Industrial Dispute referred as per G.O. (Rt.) No. 2692/04/LBR dated 14-10-2004.

The Government Order read as first paper above stands modified to the above extent.

(2)

G.O.(Rt) No. 3011/2006/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th November 2006.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Payyavur Service Sahakarana Bank Ltd. C-179, P. O. Payyavur, Kannur District and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Thomas Mathew, Chackamkunnel, P. O. Chandanakkampara, Payyavoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conterred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the dismissal of Sri Thomas Mathew, Clerk, Payyavoor Service Go-operative Bank by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3012/2005/LBR.

Thirupananthapuram, 30th November 2006

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager Puth inpally K. M. M. Hospital, P. O. Perumpadappu, Malappuram-679 580 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. P. Mridula d/o Late Govindan Nair, Vattekkadu Veedu, Mangad P. O., Pazhanji Via. Thrissur-680 542 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order,

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Smt. P. Mridula, Assistant X-ray Technician, Puthanpally K. M. M. Hospital by the management is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(4

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3013/2006/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th November 2006.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the Director, the Commonwealth Trust of India Limited, Mananchira, P. O. Kozhikode and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Tile and Ceramics Workers Union (AITUC), Feroke P. O., in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kozhikode. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the removal of Sri M. Musthafa (MR 12) from the post of JCB Excavator Driver by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 454/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthaparam, 17th February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Ltd., Wallardie Estate, Vandiperiyar P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Highrange Estate's Labour Union (AITUC), Peermade P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication; Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether "the dismissal of Sri Muniyaswami, C. R. No. 4505 Partumala No. 5 Division by the management of Wallardie Estate is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 522/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthaburam, 21st February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Mahila Pradhan Agents Welfare Society No. K-305/97, Collectorate P.O., Kottayam and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Annakkutty Xavier, Palathinkal Veedu, Ethithanam P.O., Changanacherry in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Gentral Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Smt. Annakkutty Xavier, Clerk of the Mahila Pradhan Agents Welfare Society No. K-305/97, Kottayam by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(7

G. O. (Rt.) No. 523/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Manaloor Coir Vyavasaya Sahakarana Sanghom (Ltd.) No. 592, P.O. Manaloor, Thrissur District and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. P. S. Karthyayani, w/o Premlal, Nandiyil House, P. O. Thalikkulam, Thrissur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Smt. P.S. Karthiyayini, Secretary, with effect from 6-4-2002 by the Management of Manaloor Coir Vyavasaya Co-operative Society Ltd. No. R. 592, P.O. Manaloor is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to?

(8)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 537/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Vadakkekad Service Co-operative Bank (Ltd.) No. 562, P.O. Vadakkekad, Thrissur-679 562 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sci C. Abdul Kader, s/o Kunju, Pozhiyattil House, P. O. Vadakkekad, Thrissur-679 562 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether demotion of Sri G. Abdul Kader from the post of Manager to Senior Clerk and r duction of wages accordingly by the President of Vadakkekad Service Co-operative Bank Ltd. No. P->62 are justifiable? If not, what reli-f he is entitled to get? (2) Whether dismissal of G. Abdul Kader from service, by the President of Vadakkekad Service Co-operative Bank Ltd. No. P-562 is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get? (3) Whether denial of wages to Sri G. Abdul Kader for the period from 1-2-2004 to 31-7-2004 and -2-2006 to 10-3-2006 by the employer, Vadakkekad Service Co-operative Bank Ltd. No. P-562 is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

9

G O (Rt.) No. 538/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Ltd., Moongalar Estate, Vandiperiyar P.O. and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General

Secretary, Peermade Thottam Thozhilali Union (CITU), Reg. No. 226/57, P.R. Centre, Vandiperiyar P.O. in respect of matters mentioned in the amexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Sri Chandran, C. R. No. 6194 by the management of Harrisons Malayalam Ltd. Moongalar Estate is justifiable? If not what are the reliefs entitled to him?

(10)

G O (Rt.) No. 452/2 107/LBR.

Thirusananthapuram, 17th February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Ltd., Palappilly Estate, Palappilly P.O., Thrissur and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri, Abdul Manaf (Worker No. 940), Chathanchira Veedu, P.O., Palappilly, Thrissur-680 304 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 11 (1) d) of the industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri. Abdul Manaf (worker No. 940), General Worker, by the Management of Harrisons Malayalam Ltd. Palappilly Estate, Palappilly, Thrissur is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1112/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthaburam, 24th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. T.V. Jameelaes, w/o T. V. Muhammed, Managing Partner, Laila Petrolicum (Indian Oil Dealer), Kaithavalappil Veedu, Thazhepalam, Tirur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. Sukumaran, Korappatril Veedu, Elathur P. O., Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Sukumaran, Shop Assistant of Laila Petroleum (Indian Oil Dealer), Thazhepalam, Tirur by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief is he entitled to get?

(12)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1126/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthaparam, 25th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, See Bhakthi Sauvardhini Yogam, Talappu, Kunnur and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kannur District Shop and Establishment Commercial Workers Union (May Dina Thozhilali Kendram) Super Bazar, Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Padmanabhan, Worker, Sree Bhakthi Samvardhini Yogam, Kannur by the management is justifiable? It not, what relief he is entitled to?

(13)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1127/2007/LBR.

Thirupananthapuram, 25th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Smr. P. Fazerla w/o Usman, Proprietress, KL 10D-8159, Emirates Travels, Near Panchayath Kinar, Edachowa, Kannur (2) Sri Velandi Gaugadharan s/o Anandhan, Velandi House, Tana, Kannur and the

workman of the above referred c s t a b l i s h m e nt, Sri A. C. Pradeep Kumar s/o F. K. Kunjikannan Nambiar, Gopalapuram, Kayalodu, Mamparam P.O. Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for a findication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur, The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of cmployment to Sri A. G. Pradeep Kumar, Conductor, by the management K. L. 10 D-3159 Emirate Bus is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(14)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1129/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Kurali Estates & Exporters Pvt. Ltd., Padagiri P. O., Nelliyampathi, Palakkad and the workmen of he above referred establishment Sri G. Ginesan, Pulayampathi P O., Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the Ward within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of e m ployment to Sri C. Ganesan by the Managment of Kairali Estates and Exporters Pvt. Ltd., Padagiri is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(15)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1130/2007/LBR.

Thirupananthaparam, 25th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. T.V. Jameela, w/o T.V. Muhammed, Managing Partner, Laila Petroleum (Indian Oil Dealer), Kaithavalappil Veedu, Thuzhepalam, Tirur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri C. Thouseque,

Chepra House, Pathampada, Niramaruthoor P.O., Tirur, Malappuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri C. Thou feeque, Attender of Laila Petroleum (Indian Oil Dealer), Thazhepalam, Tirur by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get?

(16)

G. O (Rt.) No. 1158/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Malabar Spinning and Weaving Mills Co. Ltd., Thiruvannoor Nada P. O., Kozhikode and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Cotton Mill Workers Union (CITU), Thiruvannoor Nada P. O., Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kozhikode. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the claim raised by the union regarding the date of entry in service of Sri K. V. Prabhakaran as 14-10-1971 is justifiable? If not, which date can be treated as actual date of entry in service?

(17)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1159/2007/LBR.

Thirupananthapuram, 26th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, The Baliapattam Tile Works Ltd.,

Pappinissery P.O., Kannur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. Louis, Kallen House, Mudikkanam, Pariyaram Amsam Desam, Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Gentral Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, 'Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri K. Louis, Worker of the Baliapattam Tile Works Ltd., Pappinissery by the management is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(18)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1160/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Kalluvazhayil Sabir, Managing Partner, New Thurayoor Wood Industries, Thurayoor P. O. (2) Sri T. V. Abdulla, Partner, New Thurayoor Wood Industries, Thurayoor P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. K. Raju, Kalladan Kandikkuni, Naduvannur P. O., Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether there is any denial of employment to Shri K. K. Raju by the Management of New Thurayoor Wood Industries, Thurayoor P.O. if so, what relief he is entitled to?

(19)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1301/2007/LBR.

Thiravananthapuram, 10th May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Kerala Books and Publication Society, Kakkanad, Kochi-30 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kerala Books and Publication Society Employees Association, C.I.T.U., Register No. 215/79, Kakkanad, Kochi-30 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the reversion as printing helper and subsequent dismissal of Sri K. M Backer, Offset Printing machine operator by the management of Kerala Books and Publication Society, Kakkanad is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(20)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1333/2007/LBR.

Thirnvananthapuram, 14th May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Registrar, University of Kerala, University Office, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Hameed, K., Kizhakkemannara House, Ayathil P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Sri K. Hameed, Provisional Assistant Grade II, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram from the service of the University is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

> By order of the Governor, Susy Eapen, Under Secretary to Government.